

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2001

LB 335

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: It would be, but I think I'd also look at the definition of restricted funds, because I think it will catch these under that definition.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: The definition of restricted funds refers to a series of specific statutory distributions and so...but...but...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Well, we can look.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...I'd be more than willing to check, but I...I think this raises that particular issue. Any time we create one of these aid funds I think we need to be cognizant of whether the distribution is going to be subject to restricted funds. Otherwise, we just wind up providing state dollars and no compensating reduction.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Exactly, and that's the reason if they're going to take over an ongoing program and such that should be a restricted fund.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay. And I...and I apologize for not raising these questions with you earlier. I, frankly, hadn't taken time to focus on the bill. Now, I'm assuming that due to the language in Section 4, sub (5), that you don't...that you'd expect that in a year in which the appropriated amount did not meet the amount of requests that were approved that the following year there would be simply a deficit request and that we wouldn't have any mechanism to really control the amount of money in this fund or utilized for this purposes, because as I...as I read the language you're not contemplating a fixed dollar appropriation that is then prorated out if it's insufficient.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: That's correct.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Jensen, on the motion to advance.